arXiv:1105.1115v1 [math.CA] 5 May 2011

L^2 BOUNDS FOR A KAKEYA TYPE MAXIMAL OPERATOR IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

CIPRIAN DEMETER

ABSTRACT. We prove that the maximal operator obtained by taking averages at scale 1 along N arbitrary directions on the sphere, is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by $N^{1/4}\log N$. When the directions are $N^{-1/2}$ separated, we improve the bound to $N^{1/4}\sqrt{\log N}$. Apart from the logarithmic terms these bounds are optimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ for some $d\ge 2$, and let $\Sigma\subset S^{d-1}$ be a collection of N unit vectors. We will use the notation

$$\mathbf{x}=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_d),$$
$$\mathbf{v}=(v_1,v_2,\dots,v_d).$$

We will be concerned with the following operator

$$M_0^{\Sigma}F(\mathbf{x})=\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma}\left|\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}F(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{v})dt\right|.$$

Note that for each $\mathbf{v}$, Fubini's theorem implies that

$$M_{\mathbf{v}}F(\mathbf{x})=\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}F(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{v})dt$$

satisfies

$$\|M_{\mathbf{v}}F\|_1\lesssim\|F\|_1.$$

Thus, the triangle inequality and interpolation with the trivial L^∞ bound proves that

$$\|M_0^{\Sigma}F\|_p\lesssim N^{1/p}\|F\|_p,$$

for each $1\le p<\infty$. This estimate is not optimal for $p>1$. The critical exponent is always $p=d$, and one expects an $O(N^{\epsilon})$ bound (or perhaps even a logarithmic bound) for $p\ge d$.

When $d=2$ this was confirmed in [10], where an L^2 bound of $\log N$ was proved. The optimal L^2 bound was shown in [6] to be $\sqrt{\log N}$. Interpolation with L^∞ produces the L^p bound $(\log N)^{1/p}$ for $2\le p<\infty$, which is known to be optimal (see [8]).

In three dimensions, no nontrivial estimates seem to appear in the literature. The critical exponent is $p=3$ and it is very hard to deal with it directly. Our theorem is concerned with $p=2$, where orthogonality methods are available.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Sigma\subset S^2$ be any collection of N unit vectors. For each $F\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have*

$$\|M_0^{\Sigma}F\|_2\lesssim N^{1/4}\log N\|F\|_2.$$

The author is supported by a Sloan Research Fellowship and by NSF Grant DMS-0901208.

AMS subject classification: Primary 42B20; Secondary 42B25.

In the separated case we have the following small improvement.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\Sigma\subset S^2$ *be a collection of* N *unit vectors such that*

$$\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}'\|\gtrsim N^{-1/2} \tag{1}$$

for each $\mathbf{v}\neq\mathbf{v}'\in\Sigma$. *Then for each* $F\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *we have*

$$\|M_0^\Sigma F\|_2\lesssim N^{1/4}\sqrt{\log N}\|F\|_2.$$

These results are sharp as far as the exponent of N is concerned. Indeed, the function $F(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{N^{1/2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}1_{N^{-1/2}<\|\mathbf{x}\|<2}$ has the L^2 norm $\|F\|_2\sim N^{-1/4}$. On the other hand, since

$$|F(\mathbf{x})-F(\mathbf{y})|\le\frac{1}{N\|\mathbf{x}\|^3}$$

for $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}$ in the support of F which are separated by $O(N^{-1/2})$, if follows that $\|M_0^\Sigma F\|_2\sim 1$. It is not clear whether the logarithmic terms from the estimates in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be eliminated.

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 rely on a wave packet decomposition similar to the one used in [4], where a different proof is given to the two dimensional result in [6]. The annuli are first decoupled using the Chang-Wilson-Wolff inequality. As a result, M_0^Σ is localized in frequency inside a fixed annulus. This is the source of a $\sqrt{\log N}$ loss in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The localized operator is then estimated using a few analytic and combinatorial observations.

The advantage one has in two dimensions, as explained in [4], is that the vector field $\mathbf{v}:\mathbb{R}^2\to\Sigma$ contributing to a fixed wave-packet is nicely localized inside a small arc on the circle. This allowed the splitting in [4] of the wave packets into $\log N$ clusters, each having nice orthogonality properties. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, the vector field $\mathbf{v}$ is only loosely localized, inside a strip on the sphere. This creates difficulties in dealing with all annuli simultaneously, and motivates the initial decoupling.

A reproof of the two dimensional result along the same lines is sketched in Section 7.

2. Relation with the Nikodym maximal function

The result of Theorem 1.2 does not imply anything new about the Hausdorff dimension of the Kakeya sets in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In fact, it only implies (via standard considerations) that their dimension is at least 2. The best known lower bound is $\frac{5}{2}$ and it is due to Wolff [11]. It is conjectured that the dimension should be 3.

While the fact that Kakeya sets in $\mathbb{R}^3$ have dimension at least 2 follows trivially from the fact that Kakeya sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ have dimension 2, there does not seem to be a direct way of using the L^2 bound from [6] for M_0^Σ in two dimensions, to derive the three dimensional results in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. However, as explained in Section 4, a variant of the two dimensional result will be used as part of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Define for each $0<\delta\ll 1$ and each integer $d\ge 2$

$$F_\delta^{**}:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R},\qquad F_\delta^{**}(\mathbf{x})=\sup_T\frac{1}{|T|}\int_T|f|$$

where T runs over all cylinders (tubes) in $\mathbb{R}^d$ containing $\mathbf{x}$, with length 1 and cross section radius δ. This is sometimes referred to as the *Nikodym maximal function.* When $d=2$, the optimal bound

$$\|F_\delta^{**}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/2}\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{2}$$

was proved in [3][1]. The optimal bound $\|F_\delta^{**}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/p}\|F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ follows via interpolation with L^∞. When $d=3$, the optimal (up to $\delta^{-\epsilon}$) operator norm $\|F_\delta^{**}\|_{L^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)\to L^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ was proved by Wolff [11].

There does not seem to exist a direct way of using (2) to derive the optimal bound from [6]

$$\|M_0^\Sigma F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/2}\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

not even in the case when Σ is a collection consisting of δ^{-1} unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which are δ separated. The same can be said when $d \geq 3$, too. The averages on line segments appearing in the definition of M_0^Σ are more "singular"; an additional smoothing effect appears when one averages over tubes. The contrast will be detailed in Section 8.

On the other hand, any bound for M_0^Σ in a given dimension $d \geq 2$ is easily seen to imply the same bound for F_δ^{**}. We will briefly explain this below, in the case when $d=3$ and $p=2$. Let Σ_δ be a collection consisting of $\sim \delta^{-2}$ unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbf{0}$ there exists $\mathbf{v_x} \in \Sigma^\delta$ satisfying $\|\mathbf{v_x} - \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\| \leq \delta$. We show that Theorem 1.1 implies

$$\|F_\delta^{**}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}\|_{2\to 2}\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Assume F is positive. Let $\mathbf{x} \mapsto T_\mathbf{x}$ be a measurable selection such that

1. $T_\mathbf{x}$ is a cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with length 1, cross section radius 10δ and pointing in the direction $\mathbf{v_x}$
2. the average of F over $T_\mathbf{x}$ is greater than $\frac{1}{100}F_\delta^{**}(\mathbf{x})$.

Let $B_\mathbf{x}$ the ball of radius 10δ centered at the same point as $T_\mathbf{x}$. It will suffice to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\int_{T_\mathbf{x}} F\right)^2 d\mathbf{x} \lesssim \delta^4\|M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}\|_{2\to 2}^2\int F^2$$

A simple geometric observation shows that

$$\int_{T_\mathbf{x}} F \lesssim \delta^{-1}\int_{B_\mathbf{x}} M_{\mathbf{v_x}}F(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} \leq \delta^{-1}\int_{B_\mathbf{x}} M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}F(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}.$$

Thus, via Hölder,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\int_{T_\mathbf{x}} F\right)^2 d\mathbf{x} \lesssim \delta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_\mathbf{x}}(M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}F(\mathbf{y}))^2 d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \delta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}F(\mathbf{y}))^2[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}1_{B_\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x}]d\mathbf{y} \lesssim \delta^4\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}F(\mathbf{y}))^2 d\mathbf{y} \lesssim \delta^4\|M_0^{\Sigma_\delta}\|_{2\to 2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F^2$$

---

[1] Actually, the bound in [3] is for a larger operator, where averages are taken over tubes of eccentricity δ, and arbitrary length

3. M_0 RESTRICTED TO AN ANNULUS: THE SEPARATED CASE

Fix Σ as in Theorem 1.2. We will denote by B the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive Schwartz function such that $\hat{\psi}$ is supported in $[-1, 1]$.

To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, it suffices to prove the same bounds for

$$M_0F(x, y, z) = \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(F)(x, y, z)|,$$

where

$$T_{\mathbf{v}}(F)(x, y, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v})\psi(t)dt.$$

For each k, we denote by $\mathbb{A}_k$ the annulus

$$\mathbb{A}_k := \{2^{k-1} \le \|(\xi, \eta, \theta)\| \le 2^{k+1}\}.$$

Let $\mu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be supported in $\mathbb{A}_0$ so that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_k(\xi, \eta, \theta) := \mu(2^{-k}\xi, 2^{-k}\eta, 2^{-k}\theta) = 1, \ \ (\xi, \eta, \theta) \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Define

$$\widehat{S_kF}(\xi, \eta, \theta) = \hat{F}(\xi, \eta, \theta)\mu_k(\xi, \eta, \theta),$$

and note that

$$F = \sum_k S_kF.$$

In this section we prove the main result leading to Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Sigma \subset S^2$ be a collection of N vectors satisfying* (1). *Then for each $k \ge 0$*

$$\|M_0(S_kF)\|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4}\|S_kF\|_2$$

Fix $k \ge 0$. Note that the Fourier transform of S_kF is supported in the annulus $\mathbb{A}_k$. Let Ξ_k be a partition of the sphere of radius 2^{k-1} into $\sim 2^{2k}$ caps C_ω with area ~ 1. The caps do not need to have the same shape. We will only insist that $C_\omega \subset D(c_\omega, 1)$, for some $c_\omega \in C_\omega$, where $D(c, 1)$ is the disk centered at c with radius 1 on the sphere of radius 2^{k-1}. For each C_ω, let ω be the part of the cone with vertex at the origin, generated by C_ω, which lies in the annulus $\mathbb{A}_k$,

$$\omega := \{(\xi, \eta, \theta) \in \mathbb{A}_k : 2^{k-1}\frac{(\xi, \eta, \theta)}{\|(\xi, \eta, \theta)\|} \in C_\omega\}.$$

We denote by Ω_k the collection of these tubes ω.

Decompose

$$S_kF = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} F_\omega,$$

where $\widehat{F_\omega} = \widehat{F}1_\omega$. We will rely on the fact that F_ω are pairwise orthogonal. For each $\omega \in \Omega_k$, let

$$A_\omega := \{\mathbf{w} \in S^2 : |(\xi, \eta, \theta) \cdot \mathbf{w}| \le 1 \text{ for some } (\xi, \eta, \theta) \in \omega\}.$$

Then A_ω is a strip on the unit sphere of width $\sim 2^{-k}$. Note that

$$T_{\mathbf{v}}(F_\omega)(x, y, z) = \int \hat{F}_\omega(\xi, \eta, \theta)\hat{\psi}(v_1\xi + v_2\eta + v_3\theta)e^{i(x\xi + y\eta + z\theta)}d\xi d\eta d\theta$$

is nonzero only if $\mathbf{v} \in A(\omega)$.

We need the following lemma (with $p = 2$)

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\omega \in \Omega_k$ *then*
$$\|M_0 F_\omega\|_p \lesssim \|F_\omega\|_p,$$
for each $1 \le p \le \infty$.

Proof Without loss of generality, assume ω points in the z direction, in other words, the z axis intersects C_ω. Then for each $\mathbf{v} \in A(\omega)$,
$$T_{\mathbf{v}}(F_\omega)(x, y, z) = \int \widehat{F_\omega}(\xi, \eta, \theta) m_{\mathbf{v}}(\xi, \eta, \theta) e^{i[\xi x + \eta y + \theta z]} d\xi d\eta d\theta,$$
where
$$m_{\mathbf{v}}(\xi, \eta, \theta) = \phi(\xi, \eta, \theta/2^k)\hat{\psi}(\xi v_1 + \eta v_2 + \theta v_3),$$
and ϕ is an appropriate smooth bump function adapted to and supported on the ball of radius 10. Note that $|v_3| \lesssim 2^{-k}$. This implies that for each $l_i \ge 0$,
$$\|\partial_\xi^{l_1} \partial_\eta^{l_2} \partial_\theta^{l_3} m_{\mathbf{v}}\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-l_3 k}$$
Thus the inverse Fourier transform $K_{\mathbf{v}} := (m_{\mathbf{v}})\check{}$ satisfies
$$K_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y, z) \lesssim K(x, y, z) := \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^{10}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{10}} \frac{2^k}{(1 + |z 2^k|)^{10}},$$
uniformly over $\mathbf{v} \in A(\omega)$. Hence
$$\| \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(F_\omega)| \|_p \le \|F_\omega * K\|_p \lesssim \|F_\omega\|_p \|K\|_1 \lesssim \|F_\omega\|_p.$$
■

Proof [of Proposition 3.1]

We will rely on two estimates. The first one is
$$\|M_0(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} F_\omega)\|_2^2 = \| \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{v},k}} F_\omega)| \|_2^2 \le \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} \|T_{\mathbf{v}}(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{v},k}} F_\omega)\|_2^2 \lesssim$$
$$\sum_{\mathbf{v}} \| \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{v},k}} F_\omega\|_2^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{v},k}} \|F_\omega\|_2^2 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \|F_\omega\|_2^2 n(\omega),$$
where $\Omega_{\mathbf{v},k}$ are those $\omega \in \Omega_k$ such that $\mathbf{v} \in A(\omega)$, and $n(\omega)$ is the cardinality of $\Sigma \cap A(\omega)$. The key is that, due to the relative uniform distribution of the vectors in Σ on the unit sphere (1), $A(\omega)$ contains at most $N 2^{-k}$ vectors from Σ, if $2^k \lesssim N^{1/2}$, and at most $N^{1/2}$ such vectors if $2^k \ge N^{1/2}$. Thus
$$\|M_0(S_k F)\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{\max\{N 2^{-k}, N^{1/2}\}} \|S_k F\|_2 \tag{3}$$
The second estimate is independent of the nature of Σ, and it relies on Lemma 3.2, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and the fact that for each $\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma$, $T_{\mathbf{v}}(F_\omega)$ is nonzero only for $O(2^k)$ tubes ω
$$\int \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(\sum_\omega F_\omega)|^2 \le \int \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} 2^k \sum_\omega |T_{\mathbf{v}}(F_\omega)|^2 \le$$
$$2^k \sum_\omega \int \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(F_\omega)|^2 \lesssim 2^k \int |S_k F|^2$$

Thus

$$\|M_0(S_kF)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{k/2}\|S_kF\|_2. \tag{4}$$

The proposition now follows from (3) and (4). ■

4. M_0 RESTRICTED TO AN ANNULUS: THE GENERAL CASE

In this section we will not impose any restrictions on Σ. We prove the main result leading to Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.1. *For each* $k \geq 0$,

$$\|M_0(S_kF)\|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4}\sqrt{\log N}\|S_kF\|_2$$

The major obstruction in getting (3) comes from the fact that the strips $A(\omega)$ are now allowed to contain more than $\sqrt{N}$ vectors from Σ. Define

$$\Omega_k^{bad} := \{\omega \in \Omega_k : |A(\omega) \cap \Sigma| \geq N^{1/2}\}$$

Recall that each $A(\omega)$ is a strip on the unit sphere with width $\sim 2^{-k}$. When k gets large enough compared to the smallest distance between points in Σ, these strips can be thought of as being lines. In this scenario, an application of the line incidence theorem of Szemerédi and Trotter [9] shows that Ω_k^{bad} has $O(\sqrt{N})$ tubes. Lemma 3.2 combined with the orthogonality of F_ω would then immediately prove the desired bound. Of course, the problem with this approach remains the fact that for small values of k, the strips $A(\omega)$ can not be equated with lines. In fact, it is very easy to see that there could be $\gg N^{1/2}$ tubes in Ω_k^{bad}.

The new ingredient will be to use the following variant of the two dimensional result from [6].

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a strip of width $\sim 2^{-k}$, such as any $A(\omega)$, around a great circle C on S^2. Then*

$$\|\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma\cap A}|T_{\mathbf{v}}(S_kF)|\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \sqrt{\log N}\|S_kF\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Proof By rotation invariance we can assume that C lies in the plane $x = 0$. Let $\mathbf{f} = (\xi, \eta, \theta)$. For each $\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma \cap A$ let $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in C$ be such that $\|\tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{v}\| \lesssim 2^{-k}$. Call $\tilde{\Sigma}$ the collection of all the $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. Note that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ has at most N elements. Recall that

$$T_{\mathbf{v}}(S_kF)(\mathbf{x}) = \int \widehat{F}(\mathbf{f})\mu_k(\mathbf{f})\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v})e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{f}}d\mathbf{f}.$$

It is easy to see that the inverse Fourier transform $K_{\mathbf{v}}$ of the multiplier

$$m_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{f}) := \mu_k(\mathbf{f})\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v})$$

satisfies

$$|K_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x})| \lesssim \eta_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\eta_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is obtained from the function

$$\eta_{(0,0,1)}(x, y, x) := \frac{2^{2k}}{(1 + |x2^k|)^{10}(1 + |y2^k|)^{10}}\frac{1}{(1 + |z|)^{10}}$$

by applying any rotation that maps $(0, 0, 1)$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. In other words, $\eta_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is a smooth approximation to the characteristic function of the $2^{-k} \times 2^{-k} \times 1$ tube centered at the origin with the long side pointing in the direction $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. We used the fact that $\eta_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{x}) \sim \eta_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x})$. The advantage now is that the vectors in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ are coplanar. It suffices to prove that for each $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\| \max_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \tilde{\Sigma}} | \int F(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}')\eta_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{x}')d\mathbf{x}'| \|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{\log N}\|F\|_2$$

Obtain similarly $\nu_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}(y,z)$ from

$$\nu_{(0,1)}(y,z) := \frac{2^k}{(1+|y2^k|)^{10}}\frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{10}}$$

by applying the rotation in the $x=0$ plane that maps $(0,0,1)$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$.

Define the following two dimensional version of M_0

$$M^{**}g(y,z) = \sup_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}:=(0,\tilde{v}_2,\tilde{v}_3)\in\tilde{\Sigma}} | \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y+y',z+z')\nu_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}(y',z')dy'dz'|$$

We will need the fact that

$$\|M^{**}g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \sqrt{\log N}\|g\|_2. \tag{5}$$

The proof of this will be postponed to Section 7. We apply this to the functions

$$g(y,z) = F_x(y,z) := F(x,y,z)$$

using Hölder's inequality to get

$$\| \max_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \tilde{\Sigma}} | \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}')\eta_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{x}')d\mathbf{x}'| \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim$$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|M^{**}F_{x+x'}(y,z)\|^2_{L^2_{y,z}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \frac{2^k}{(1+|x'2^{-k}|)^{10}}dx'dx\right)^{1/2} \lesssim \sqrt{\log N}\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

■

Next, run the following selection algorithm. Pick first any $\omega_1 \in \Omega_k^{bad}$ such that $V_1 := A(\omega_1)\cap\Sigma$ has at least $\sqrt{N}$ elements. Then select $\omega_2 \in \Omega_k^{bad}\setminus\{\omega_1\}$ such that $V_2 := (A(\omega_2)\cap\Sigma)\setminus V_1$ has at least $\sqrt{N}$ elements. The algorithm stops when no such ω are available. In the end we will have the selected tubes $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_L$, and the pairwise disjoint sets $V, V_1, \ldots, V_L$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\omega\in\Omega_k} A(\omega)\cap\Sigma = \bigcup_{1\le l\le L} V_l \cup V,$$

and such that $V\cap A(\omega)$ has at most $\sqrt{N}$ elements for each $\omega\in\Omega_k$. Note that $L \le \sqrt{N}$.

Note first that the argument used to prove (3) also proves

$$\| \max_{\mathbf{v}\in V} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(S_kF)| \|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4}\|S_kF\|_2 \tag{6}$$

On the other hand Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$\| \max_{\mathbf{v}\in\cup_l V_l} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(S_kF)| \|_2 \le \sqrt{L}\max_l \| \max_{\mathbf{v}\in V_l} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(S_kF)| \|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4}\sqrt{\log N}\|S_kF\|_2 \tag{7}$$

Proposition 4.1 now follows from (6) and (7).

5. The decoupling of the annuli

Define the following low, intermediate and high frequency restrictions

$$\widehat{F^s} = \widehat{F}\sum_{k<0}\mu_k$$

$$\widehat{F^i} = \widehat{F}\sum_{3\log_2 N\geq k\geq 0}\mu_k$$

$$\widehat{F^l} = \widehat{F}\sum_{k>3\log_2 N}\mu_k.$$

Recall the conditional expectation with respect to the σ- algebra consisting of dyadic cubes of side length 2^{-j} in $\mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\mathbb{E}_j F(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{Q:|Q|=2^{-3j}} \langle F, \frac{1_Q}{|Q|}\rangle 1_Q(\mathbf{x})$$

and let $\Delta_j = \mathbb{E}_{j+1} - \mathbb{E}_j$ be the martingale difference. Denote by

$$\Delta(F)(\mathbf{x}) = (\sum_{j\geq 0}|\Delta_j F(\mathbf{x})|^2)^{1/2}$$

the discrete square function. We recall the following *good-lambda* inequality, which allows to compare F with its square function.

Lemma 5.1 (The Chang-Wilson-Wolff inequality, [2]). *There exist constants c_1, c_2 such that for all $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ one has*

$$|\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3 : |F(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_0 F(\mathbf{x})| > 2\lambda, \Delta(f)(\mathbf{x}) < \epsilon\lambda\}| \leq c_2 e^{-\frac{c_1}{\epsilon^2}}|\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3 : \sup_{k\geq 0}|\mathbb{E}_k F(\mathbf{x})| > \epsilon\lambda\}|.$$

Define $OF := M_2(M^*F)$ where $M_2 g = (M^*(g^2))^{1/2}$ and M^*g is the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. The only thing we need to know about these operators is that they are bounded on L^2.

Let T be a linear bounded multiplier operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, that is

$$\widehat{TF} = m\hat{F},$$

for some $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Define $T_k F := T(S_k F)$.

The following two lemmas are proved in [4]. They are variants of similar lemmas from [5]. The first result shows that Δ is dominated by a square function whose components are localized in frequency. We need frequency localization in order to be able to apply Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 5.2. *For each T as above there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that for each $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$*

$$\Delta(TF)(\mathbf{x}) \leq c_3(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|O(T_k F)(\mathbf{x})|^2)^{1/2}$$

almost everywhere.

The next Lemma controls error terms. The explanation for the extra N in the denominator is that $\mathbb{E}_0$ and T are almost orthogonal; $\mathbb{E}_0$ is "morally" a Fourier restriction to the unit ball, while T is restricted to frequencies larger than N^2.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that m is zero on the ball with radius N^2. Then there exists $c_4 > 0$ such that for each $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$*

$$|\mathbb{E}_0(TF)(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{c_4}{N}(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |O(T_kF)(\mathbf{x})|^2)^{1/2}$$

almost everywhere.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2

We will apply the lemmas from the previous section to

$$TF = T_{\mathbf{v}}(F) := \int F(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v})\psi(t)dt.$$

More exactly, we will distinguish three regimes. Write

$$T_{\mathbf{v}} = T^s_{\mathbf{v}} + T^i_{\mathbf{v}} + T^l_{\mathbf{v}}$$

where $T^s_{\mathbf{v}}F = T_{\mathbf{v}}(F^s)$, $T^i_{\mathbf{v}}F = T_{\mathbf{v}}(F^i)$, $T^l_{\mathbf{v}}F = T_{\mathbf{v}}(F^l)$.

We prove Theorem 1.2, while Theorem 1.1 follows via trivial modifications.

6.1. The small regime.

Let ϕ is a smooth bump function adapted to $2B$ such that

$$1_B \leq \phi \leq 1_{2B}.$$

Note that the inverse Fourier transform

$$K_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y, z) := (\phi(\xi, \eta, \theta)\hat{\psi}(v_1\xi + v_2\eta + v_3\theta))\check{}(x, y, z)$$

is easily seen to satisfy

$$|K_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y, z)| \lesssim K(x, y, z) := (1 + \|(x, y, z)\|)^{-10},$$

with bound independent of $\mathbf{v}$.

Observe that $\widehat{F^s}$ is supported in the unit ball. Thus we can write

$$M_0F^s(x, y, z) = \max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |\int \hat{F}^s(\xi, \eta, \theta)\phi(\xi, \eta, \theta)\hat{\psi}(v_1\xi + v_2\eta + v_3\theta)e^{i(x\xi+y\eta+z\theta)}d\xi d\eta d\theta|$$

$$\lesssim F^s * K(x, y, z).$$

We conclude as before that

$$\|M_0F^s\|_p \lesssim \|F^s\|_p \tag{8}$$

for each $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. No restriction on Σ (not even finiteness) was needed here.

6.2. The intermediate regime.

It follows from Proposition 3.1, followed by the triangle inequality and the almost orthogonality of S_kF that

$$\|M_0F^i\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{0\leq k\leq 3\log_2 N} N^{1/4}\|S_kF\|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4}\sqrt{\log N}\|F\|_2 \tag{9}$$

6.3. **The large regime.** Let $\epsilon_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1 \log N}}$. Define

$$G(F) = (\sum_{k > 3\log_2 N} O(\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |T_{\mathbf{v}}(S_k F)|)^2)^{1/2}.$$

From Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 we know that for each $\mathbf{v} \in S^2$

$$\Delta(T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F)(\mathbf{x}) \le c_3 G(F)(\mathbf{x}) \tag{10}$$

and

$$|\mathbb{E}_0(T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F)(\mathbf{x})| \le \frac{c_4}{N} G(F)(\mathbf{x}) \tag{11}$$

We dropped the low frequencies in the definition of $G(F)$, since $T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F$ is by definition localized at high frequencies.

For each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\{\mathbf{x} : \max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{x})| > 4\lambda\} \subset E_{\lambda,1} \cup E_{\lambda,2} \cup E_{\lambda,3},$$

where

$$E_{\lambda,1} = \{\mathbf{x} : \max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_0 T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{x})| > 2\lambda, G(F)(\mathbf{x}) \le \frac{1}{c_3}\epsilon_N \lambda\}$$

$$E_{\lambda,2} = \{\mathbf{x} : G(F)(\mathbf{x}) > \frac{1}{c_3}\epsilon_N \lambda\}$$

$$E_{\lambda,3} = \{\mathbf{x} : \max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |\mathbb{E}_0 T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{x})| > 2\lambda\}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 applied to each function $T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F$ and using (10) we get

$$|E_{\lambda,1}| \le \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |\{\mathbf{x} : |T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_0 T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{x})| > 2\lambda, \Delta(T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F)(\mathbf{x}) \le \epsilon_N \lambda\}| \lesssim$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} |\{\mathbf{x} : M^*(T^l_{\mathbf{v}} F)(\mathbf{x}) > \epsilon_N \lambda\}|.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda |E_{\lambda,1}| d\lambda \lesssim \log N \|F^l\|_2^2 \tag{12}$$

The last inequality follows since each $T_{\mathbf{v}}$ is bounded on L^2. Next, note that by Proposition 3.1 and the almost orthogonality of $S_k F$

$$\|G(F)\|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4} \|F^l\|_2.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda |E_{\lambda,2}| d\lambda \lesssim N^{1/2} \log N \|F^l\|_2^2 \tag{13}$$

Finally, from (11) we have

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda |E_{\lambda,3}| d\lambda \lesssim \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma} \int_0^\infty \lambda |\{\mathbf{x} : G(F)(\mathbf{x}) \gtrsim N\lambda\}| d\lambda \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \|F^l\|_2^2. \tag{14}$$

We conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda \sum_{i=1}^3 |E_{\lambda,i}| d\lambda \lesssim N^{1/2} \log N \|F\|_2^2$$

and thus

$$\|M_0 F^l\|_2 \lesssim N^{1/4} \sqrt{\log N} \|F^l\|_2 \tag{15}$$

A minute of reflection will show that no value of ϵ_N will be able to improve the bound $N^{1/4}\sqrt{\log N}$, via this type of argument.

7. A TWO DIMENSIONAL RESULT

We sketch a proof of (5). Recall that $2^k \geq 0$. By splitting each $\nu_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ dyadically, and using the decay of its tail, it suffices to consider averages over $2^{m-k} \times 2^m$ tubes pointing in one of the directions from $\tilde{\Sigma}$, for some fixed $m \geq 0$. To be consistent with the previous analysis we can rescale and assume $m = 0$. Let as before $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive Schwartz function such that $\hat{\psi}$ is supported in $[-1, 1]$. Via an application of the Chang-Wilson-Wolff inequality as before, it will suffice to prove

$$\|\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\tilde{\Sigma}} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v} + s\mathbf{v}^\perp)\psi(t)2^k\psi(s2^k)dtds|\|_2 \lesssim \|G\|_2 \tag{16}$$

if $\widehat{G}$ is supported in the unit ball, and also

$$\|\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\tilde{\Sigma}} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S_l F(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v} + s\mathbf{v}^\perp)\psi(t)2^k\psi(s2^k)dtds|\|_2 \lesssim \|S_l F\|_2 \tag{17}$$

for each $l \geq 0$.

We will first prove (17). Decompose

$$S_l F = \sum_T F_T$$

where each T is a an annular tube associated with an arc C_T of length $\sim 2^{-l}$ on the unit circle

$$T := \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{A}_l : \frac{(\xi, \eta)}{\|(\xi, \eta)\|} \in C_T\}.$$

Note that for each T

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_T(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{x} + s\mathbf{v}^\perp)\psi(t)2^k\psi(s2^k)dtds =$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{F_T}(\xi, \eta)\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{v} \cdot (\xi, \eta))\widehat{\psi}(2^{-k}\mathbf{v}^\perp \cdot (\xi, \eta))e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)}d\xi d\eta$$

is only nonzero if $\mathbf{v}$ belongs to the union of two arcs on the unit circle of length $\sim 2^{-l}$ lying orthogonal to T. The collection of these arcs will have bounded overlap, when T varies over all possible tubes. On the other hand, an argument similar to the one from Lemma 3.2 will show that

$$\|\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\tilde{\Sigma}} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_T(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v} + s\mathbf{v}^\perp)\psi(t)2^k\psi(s2^k)dtds|\|_2 \lesssim \|F_T\|_2$$

for each T. Indeed, assume T points in the η direction. Observe first that we can assume that $2^k \gtrsim 2^l$, otherwise the integrals are zero for each $\mathbf{v}$ (see the discussion in Section 8). We can also assume $|\mathbf{v}_2| \lesssim 2^{-l}$. But then the multiplier

$$m_{\mathbf{v}}(\xi,\eta) := \phi(\xi, 2^{-l}\eta)\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{v}\cdot(\xi,\eta))\widehat{\psi}(2^{-k}\mathbf{v}^{\perp}\cdot(\xi,\eta))$$

satisfies

$$\|\partial_{\xi}^{a_1}\partial_{\eta}^{a_2} m_{\mathbf{v}}\|_{\infty} \lesssim 2^{-a_2 l}$$

where ϕ is an appropriate smooth bump function adapted to and supported on the ball $10B$. Thus $K_{\mathbf{v}} := (m_{\mathbf{v}})\check{}$ satisfies

$$|K_{\mathbf{v}}(x,y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{10}}\frac{2^l}{(1+|y2^l|)^{10}}.$$

Combining these observations, (17) follows.

To prove (16) we note that the multiplier

$$m_{\mathbf{v}}(\xi,\eta) := \phi(\xi,\eta)\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{v}\cdot(\xi,\eta))\widehat{\psi}(2^{-k}\mathbf{v}^{\perp}\cdot(\xi,\eta))$$

satisfies for each $a_i \geq 0$

$$\|\partial_{\xi}^{a_1}\partial_{\eta}^{a_2} m_{\mathbf{v}}\|_{\infty} \lesssim 1$$

and hence

$$|(m_{\mathbf{v}})\check{}(x,y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{10}}\frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{10}}.$$

8. Final remarks

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 show the extra difficulty one encounters when dealing with M_0, as opposed to F_{δ}^{**}. More precisely, F_{δ}^{**} is equivalent to the following smooth version

$$N^*(F)(x,y,z) = \max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma_{\delta}} |N_{\mathbf{v}}(F)(x,y,z)|.$$

Here

$$N_{\mathbf{v}}(F)(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\delta^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{v}+s\mathbf{v}^{\perp}+u\mathbf{v}^{\perp\perp})\psi(t)\psi(s/\delta)\psi(u/\delta)dtdsdu$$

Σ_{δ} is a collection of δ^{-2}, δ separated unit vectors, and $\mathbf{v}^{\perp}, \mathbf{v}^{\perp\perp}$ are any two unit vectors such that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^{\perp}, \mathbf{v}^{\perp\perp}$ are mutually orthogonal. Thus, the numerology relating the two operators is $N \sim \delta^{-2}$. Recall the notation $\mathbf{f} = (\xi,\eta,\theta)$. Note that

$$N_{\mathbf{v}}(F)(\mathbf{x}) = \int \hat{F}(\mathbf{f})\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v})\hat{\psi}(\delta\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\perp})\hat{\psi}(\delta\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\perp\perp})e^{i(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{f})}d\mathbf{f}$$

is non zero only if $|\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}| \leq 1$, $|\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\perp}| \leq \delta^{-1}$ and $|\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\perp\perp}| \leq \delta^{-1}$. It is easy to see that these can not simultaneously hold if $\|\mathbf{f}\| \geq 10\delta^{-1}$. In particular, each $N_{\mathbf{v}}$ -and thus N^*- only "see" the frequencies of F smaller than δ^{-1}. Moreover, for these small frequencies, the Fourier restriction $\hat{\psi}(\delta\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\perp})\hat{\psi}(\delta\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\perp\perp})$ does not have any significant effect (it is essentially one), and thus $M_0(S_kF) \sim N^*(S_kF)$ whenever $2^k \lesssim \delta^{-1}$. Thus

$$N^*(F) \lesssim M_0(F^s) + \sum_{0\leq k\leq \log(\delta^{-1})} M_0(F_k)$$

The bound

$$\|N^*(F)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \delta^{-1/2}\sqrt{\log(\delta^{-1})}$$

follows right away from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.1, without any appeal to a decoupling inequality.

It would be interesting if one could prove optimal results for M_0, for some $2 < p < \infty$. The closer p is to 3, the better are the implications on the dimension of the Kakeya sets. It is not clear whether the technology developed in [1] or [11] to prove such estimates for $N^*(F)$ could be used for M_0, too. One case of interest (and where some degree of orthogonality could still be exploited) is whether the estimate

$$\|M_0(F)\|_4 \lesssim N^{\epsilon}\|F\|_4$$

holds.

Another interesting question is whether one can prove similar $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ bounds for the multi-scale maximal function

$$\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\Sigma}\sup_{\epsilon>0}\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon}|F(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{v})|dt.$$

Optimal bounds for all $p \geq 2$ in two dimensions were proved in [7].

REFERENCES

[1] J. Bourgain, *Besicovitch-type maximal operators and applications to Fourier analysis* Geom. and Funct. Anal. 22 (1991), 147-187

[2] S. Y. A. Chang, M. Wilson, T. Wolff, *Some weighted norm inequalities concerning the Schrödinger operator*, Comment. Math. Helv **60** (1985), 217-246.

[3] A. Cordoba *The Kakeya maximal function and the spherical summation multipliers*, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), no. 1, 1-22

[4] C. Demeter, *Singular integrals along N directions in $\mathbb{R}^2$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138 (2010), no. 12, 4433-4442

[5] L. Grafakos, P. Honzik, A. Seeger, *On maximal functions for Mikhlin-Hörmander multiplier*, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), no. 2, 363-378.

[6] N. H. Katz, *Remarks on maximal operators over arbitrary sets of directions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), no. 6, 700-710

[7] N. H. Katz, *Maximal operators over arbitrary sets of directions*, Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), no. 1, 6779

[8] U. Keich, *On L^p bounds for Kakeya maximal functions and the Minkowski dimension in $\mathbf{R}^2$*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), no. 2, 213221.

[9] E. Szemerédi, W. T. Trotter, *Extremal problems in discrete geometry*, Combinatorica 3 (1983), no. 3-4, 381-392.

[10] S. Wainger, *Applications of Fourier transform to averages over lower dimensional sets*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 35 (1979) 85-94.

[11] T. Wolff, *An improved bound for Kakeya type maximal functions* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 11 (1995), no. 3, 651-674.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, 831 EAST 3RD ST., BLOOMINGTON IN 47405

E-mail address: `demeterc@indiana.edu`